



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,611		09/26/2003	Paul A. Keifer	99-14 US	3706	
23693	7590	03/19/2004		EXAMINER		
Varian I	nc.		ARANA, LOUIS M			
Legal Department 3120 Hansen Way D-102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Alto, CA 94304 2859					
				DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/672,611	%72,611 KEIFER, PAUL A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	)			
	Louis M. Arana	2859	γW			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ·	•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No				
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	F					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/04, 9/03</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/672,611 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartuska P.N. 5,146,166 (Bartuska).

Bartuska discloses an automated sample changer for a MR spectrometer. Applicant's attention is directed to Fig.1 and 2 and corresponding description. Sample cells 2 are arranged in what can be reasonably described as a "stack". The sample cells 2 are gravity fed to an examination region radially surrounded by an RF coil 12. A base 13a removes and/or displaces the sample tube or cell supporting the same on guide 3. Note that a thermosetting device is contemplated in lines 66-68 of col. 9 and 1-14 of col. 10.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 6-7, 16, 9-10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartuska as applied to claims 1, 8 and 11 above, and further in view of the teachings of Bartuska and Smallcombe P.N. 6,218,835.

Art Unit: 2859

The features recited by the claims at issue would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill in the art in view of the teachings of Bartuska and Smallcombe. For example, see the use of coding labels as described in lines 36-38 of col. 4. Bartuska also clearly teaches of the advantage of regulating the temperature of the samples as an expedient for analysis. Such thermal regulation may be achieved by any "means known by those skilled in the art of controlling the temperature of the samples". See lines 12-14 of col. 10. Such means would include a circulating gas or the use of heating coils as taught by Smallcombe. See abstract of the disclosure.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McKenna discloses a NMR spectrometer. See fig. 2 and corresponding description.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis M. Arana whose telephone number is (571) 272-2236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Louis M/Arana Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859 Page 4

Ima 3/3/04